

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 3:35 PM on 05 Jan 2018 from Mrs Kathy Stanley.

Application Summary

Address:

6 Rixon Crescent Melton Suffolk IP12 1TQ

Proposal:

Proposed Alterations and small rear extension

Case Officer:

Rachel Smith

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:

Mrs Kathy Stanley

Address:

4 Rixon Crescent, Melton, Suffolk IP12 1TQ

Comments Details

Commenter

Type:

Neighbour

Stance:

Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for

comment:

- Access
- Building work
- Design
- Dominating/Overbearing
- Landscape impact
- Loss of Privacy
- Noise
- Over Development
- Over Shadowing
- Parking
- Scale
- Traffic or Highways

Comments:

Despite the minor modifications in the revised submission we maintain our objection to the proposed development on the following grounds.

1. The proposals remain in breach of the covenant applicable to all properties on the Melton Park development. If this development is allowed to proceed it will set an unfortunate precedent for future development on this well ordered and designed housing development. Please refer to Melton Property Management Company.
2. The scale of the scheme of development is much too large for the existing site and is incompatible with access to that site. The plans, if approved, will result in an over-bearing structure which would be incompatible with other properties in Rixon Crescent.
3. The driveway leading to the property is a private road and is not supported by the council but by resident contributions. This roadway will be damaged as a result of the development and no proposal has been made by the owner of the property in connection with the use of the private road or for the remediation of it after the work is complete.
4. The private roadway leading to the property is very narrow and access is limited. The property sits at the end of the cul-de-sac and so any movement of materials and personnel will need to pass along this narrow roadway and will inevitably cause congestion and disturbance to other residents who depend upon open access to that private road. The immediate frontage of the property is

not large enough to cope with the transportation and storage of building material without impacting upon the road access.

5. The residents have a right to the Quiet Enjoyment of their homes and this project will adversely affect that right.

6. My previous comments continue to apply to the extent not covered by this objection.